Event-by-event pre-equilibrium dynamics in high-energy heavy-ion collisions

Sören Schlichting | University of Washington

Based on
A. Kurkela, A. Mazeliauskas, J.-F. Paquet, SS, D. Teaney
(QM proceeding arXiv:1704.05242
long & short paper in preparation

North Carolina State University
TNT Seminar
Apr 2018



UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON

Space-time picture of HIC

Extremely successful phenomenology based on hydrodynamic models of space-time evolution starting from τ~1fm/c



Goal: Include theoretical description of pre-equilibrium stage for complete description of space-time dynamics

Outline

Early time dynamics & equilibration process

— Eff. kinetic description & microscopic dynamics at weak coupling

Description of early-time dynamics by macroscopic d.o.f.

— Energy momentum tensor & non-eq. response function

Event-by-event simulation of pre-equilibrium dynamics

— Consistent matching to rel. visc. hydrodynamics

Conclusions & Outlook

Early time dynamics & equilibration process

Canonical picture at weak coupling:



Starting with the collision of heavy-ions a sequence of processes eventually leads to the formation of an equilibrated QGP

Key questions:

How does initial far from equilibrium state equilibrate?

When and to what extent can this process be described macroscopically e.g. in terms of visc. hydrodynamics?

Early time dynamics ($0<\tau<1/Q_s$)

Because of high phase-space density of small-x gluons particle initial particle production and early time dynamics described in terms of classical field theory to leading order

$$D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\nu$$



Color glass condensate:

Strong boost invariant classical fields E^η, B^η created immediately after the collision

Decoherence of classical fields occurs on a time scale $\tau \sim 1/Q_s$ where quasi-particle description starts to become applicable

-> Basis for microscopic initial state calculations e.g. IP-Glasma

IP-Glasma



Classical Yang-Mills dynamics insufficient to equilibrate the system ($P_L << P_T$)

-> Need to switch theoretical description when occupancy becomes small

Equilibration process at weak coupling

Kinetic description becomes applicable once the strong fields have lost coherence

Subsequent evolution described by Boltzmann equation:

$$\left(\partial_\tau - \frac{p_z}{\tau}\right) f(\tau, |\mathbf{p}_\perp|, p_z) = \mathcal{C}[f] = \mathcal{C}_{2\leftrightarrow 2}[f] + \mathcal{C}_{1\leftrightarrow 2}[f]$$



elast. 2<->2 scattering
screened by Debye mass

collinear 1<->2 Bremsstrahlung
incl. LPM efffect
via eff. vertex re-summation

Semi-hard gluons produced around mid-rapidity have $p_T >> p_z$
-> Initial phase-space distribution is highly anisotropic

Non-equilibrium plasma subject to rapid long. expansion
-> Continuous depletion of phase space density

Equilibration process at weak coupling

Equilibration proceeds as three step process described by "bottom-up" scenario

Baier, Mueller, Schiff, Son PLB502 (2001) 51-58



Phase I: Quasi-particle description becomes applicable.
Elastics scattering dominant but insufficient to isotropize system

c.f. Berges,Boguslavski,SS, Venugopalan, PRD 89 (2014) no.7, 074011

Equilibration process at weak coupling

Equilibration proceeds as three step process described by "bottom-up" scenario

Baier, Mueller, Schiff, Son PLB502 (2001) 51-58



Phase II: Mini-jets undergo a radiative break-up cascade eventually leading to formation of soft thermal bath

c.f. Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301

Equilibration process at weak coupling

Equilibration proceeds as three step process described by "bottom-up" scenario

Baier, Mueller, Schiff, Son PLB502 (2001) 51-58



Phase III: Quenching of mini-jets in soft thermal bath transfers energy to soft sector leading to isotropization of plasma

c.f. Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301

Equilibration process at weak coupling

Equilibration proceeds as three step process described by "bottom-up" scenario

Baier, Mueller, Schiff, Son PLB502 (2001) 51-58

Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301





Beyond very early times equilibration process similar to parton-energy loss in thermal medium

Equilibration time determined by the time-scale for a mini-jet ($p \sim Q_s$) to loose all its energy to soft thermal bath

Hydrodynamic behavior

Since the system is highly anisotropic initially $P_L << P_T$, one of the key questions is to understand evolution of anisotropy of $T^{\mu\nu}$

Extrapolations from weak-coupling limit to realistic values of α_s (~0.3) at RHIC & LHC energies yield results consistent with phenomenological estimates

Viscous hydrodynamics applicable on time scales ~1 fm/c

Similar to strong coupling picture viscous hydrodynamics becomes applicable when pressure anisotropies are still O(1)



Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301

Early time dynamics & equilibration process

Based on combination of weak-coupling methods a complete description of early-time dynamics can be achieved



Brute force calculation challenging but possible (e.g. in p+p/A)
(Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu, Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.9, 091504)

Ultimately for the purpose of describing soft physics of the medium, we are mostly interested in calculation of energy-momentum tensor

-> Exploit memory loss to use macroscopic degrees of freedom for description of pre-equilibrium dynamics

Macroscopic pre-equilibrium evolution

Extract energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ from initial state model (e.g. IP-Glasma)

Evolve $T^{\mu\nu}$ from initial time $\tau_0 \sim 1/Q_s$ to hydro initialization time τ_{Hydro} using eff. kinetic theory description

Causality restricts contributions to $T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ to be localized from causal disc $|x-x_0| < \tau_{Hydro}-\tau_0$ useful to decompose into a local average $T^{\mu\nu}_{BG}(x)$ and fluctuations $\delta T^{\mu\nu}(x)$

Since in practice size of causal disc is small $\tau_{Hydro}-\tau_0 << R_A$ fluctuations $\delta T^{\mu\nu}(x)$ around local average $T^{\mu\nu}_{BG}(x)$ are small and can be treated in a linearized fashion



class.Yang-Mills
(IP-Glasma)

Keegan,Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, Teaney JHEP 1608 (2016) 171
Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, Paquet, SS, Teaney (in preparation)

Macroscopic pre-equilibrium evolution

Effective kinetic description needs phase-space distribution $f(\tau, p, x)$

Memory loss: Details of initial phase-space distribution become irrelevant as system approaches local equilibrium

Can describe evolution of $T^{\mu\nu}$ in kinetic theory in terms of a representative phase-space distribution

$$f(\tau, p, x) = f_{BG}(Q_s(x)\tau, p/Q_s(x)) + \delta f(\tau, p, x)$$

where f_{BG} characterizes typical momentum space distribution, and δf can be chosen to represent local fluctuations of initial energy momentum tensor, e.g. energy density $\delta T^{\tau\tau}$ and momentum flow $\delta T^{\tau i}$

Energy perturbations:

$$\delta f_s(\tau_0, p, x) \propto \frac{\delta T^{\tau\tau}(x)}{T^{\tau\tau}_{BG}(x)} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_s(x)} f_{BG}\Big(\tau_0, p/Q_s(x)\Big)$$

local amplitude

representative form of phase-space distribution

Macroscopic pre-equilibrium evolution

Energy-momentum tensor on the hydro surface can be reconstructed directly from initial conditions according to



$$T^{\mu\nu}(\tau, x) = T^{\mu\nu}_{BG}\Big(Q_s(x)\tau\Big) + \int_{Disc} G^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\Big(\tau, \tau_0, x, x_0, Q_s(x)\Big)\ \delta T^{\alpha\beta}(\tau_0, x_0)$$

non-equilibrium evolution of (local) average background

non-equilibrium Greens function of energy-momentum tensor

Effective kinetic theory simulations only need to be performed once to compute background evolution and Greens functions



Scaling variables

Background evolution and Greens functions still depend on variety of variables e.g. $Q_s(x)$ (local energy scale), α_s, (coupling constant) …

-> Identify appropriate scaling variables to reduce complexity

Since ultimately evolution will match onto visc. hydrodynamics, check wether hydrodynamics admits scaling solution

<u>1st order hydro:</u> $$T^{\tau\tau}(\tau) = T^{\tau\tau}_{Ideal}(\tau)\left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\frac{\eta/s}{T_{eff}\tau} + \ldots\right)$$

where $T^{\tau\tau}_{Ideal}(\tau)$ is the Bjorken energy density and $T_{eff} = \tau^{-1/3} \lim_{\tau\to\infty} T(\tau)\tau^{1/3}$

Natural candidate for scaling variable is $x_s = T_{eff}\tau/(\eta/s)$

(evolution time / equilibrium relaxation time)

Background — Scaling & Equilibration time

Scaling property extends well beyond hydrodynamic regime

Non-equilibrium evolution of background $T^{\mu\nu}$ is a unique function of $x_s = T_{eff}\tau/(\eta/s)$





Near equilibrium physics (η/s) determines time scale for mini-jet quenching for relevant values of coupling strength (η/s)

Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) 182301
Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, Paquet, SS, Teaney (in preparation)

Validity of Hydro in small and large systems

Estimate of minimal time scale for applicability of visc. hydrodynamics

$$\tau_{\rm hydro} \approx 0.8\,{\rm fm} \left(\frac{4\pi(\eta/s)}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\langle \tau e^{3/4}\rangle}{1.6\,{\rm GeV}^2}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\nu_{\rm eff}}{16}\right)^{3/8}$$

Necessary criterion for establishing a sufficiently long lived hydrodynamic phase (e.g. in p+p/A):

equilibration time (τ_{Eq}) << system size (R)

Since entropy is approximately conserved in sequent evolution/ can be directly expressed in terms of final state multiplicity

$$\tau_{Eq} \simeq (4\pi\eta/s)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\frac{4}{3}\frac{\pi^2}{30}\nu_{eff}}{\langle s\tau\rangle_\infty}\right)^{1/2} \qquad \langle s\tau\rangle_\infty \simeq \frac{S}{N}\frac{1}{\pi R^2}\frac{dN}{dy}$$

$$\frac{\tau_{\rm hydro}}{R} \simeq \left(\frac{4\pi(\eta/s)}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{dN_{\rm ch}/d\eta}{62}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{S/N_{\rm ch}}{7}\right) \left(\frac{\nu_{\rm eff}}{40}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$



R

Entropy production & Initial state properties

Significant amount of entropy production (x2-3) during the pre-equilibrium phase



Since there is essentially a one to one correspondence between

Initial sate entropy <-> Final state multiplicity

Entropy production in (kinetic) pre-equilibrium phase important to relate properties of microscopic initial state (e.g. Q_s) to experimental data

Greens functions

Greens functions describe evolution of energy/momentum perturbations on top of a (locally) homogenous boost-invariant background

-> Description of perturbations in Fourier space

Decomposition in a complete basis of tensors leaves a total of 10 independent functions, e.g. for energy perturbations

energy response

$$\tilde{G}^{\tau\tau}_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\tau_0,\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{G}^{s}_{s}(\tau,\tau_0,|\mathbf{k}|)\ ,$$

momentum response

$$\tilde{G}^{\tau i}_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\tau_0,\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{k}^i}{|\mathbf{k}|}\tilde{G}^{v}_{s}(\tau,\tau_0,|\mathbf{k}|)\ ,$$

shear stress/pressure response

$$\tilde{G}^{ij}_{\tau\tau}_{s}(\tau,\tau_0,\mathbf{k}) = \tilde{G}^{t,\delta}_{s}(\tau,\tau_0,|\mathbf{k}|)\ \delta^{ij} + \tilde{G}^{t,k}_{s}(\tau,\tau_0,|\mathbf{k}|)\ \frac{\mathbf{k}^i\mathbf{k}^j}{|\mathbf{k}|^2}\ ;$$

Numerically computed in eff. kinetic theory by solving linearized Boltzmann equation on top of non-equilibrium background

$$\left(\partial_\tau + \frac{i\mathbf{p}_\perp\mathbf{k}_\perp}{p} - \frac{p_z}{\tau}\right)\ \delta\widetilde{f}(\tau,|\mathbf{p}_\perp|,p_z;\ \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \delta\mathcal{C}[f,\delta\widetilde{f}]$$

Greens functions

Free-streaming:

coordinate space

Energy-momentum perturbations propagate as a concentric wave traveling at the speed of light

$|x-x_0|=\tau-\tau_0$



energy/momentum response:

$$G_s^{s/v}(\tau,\tau_0,\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0)=\frac{1}{2\pi(\tau-\tau_0)}\delta\Big(|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_0|-(\tau-\tau_0)\Big)$$

Hydrodynamic response functions in the limit of large times x_s>>1 and small wave-number k $(\tau-\tau_0)$ << $x_s^{1/2}$

(c.f. Vredevoogd,Pratt PRC79 (2009) 044915, Keegan,Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, Teaney JHEP 1608 (2016) 171)

energy response: $$\tilde{G}_s^s(\tau,\tau_0,k) = \tilde{G}_s^s(\tau,\tau_0,k=0)\left(1-\frac{1}{2}k^2(\tau-\tau_0)^2\,\tilde{s}_s^{(2)}+\ldots\right),$$

momentum response: $$\tilde{G}_s^v(\tau,\tau_0,k) = \tilde{G}_s^s(\tau,\tau_0,k=0)\left(\quad k(\tau-\tau_0)\,\tilde{s}_v^{(1)}+\ldots\right),$$

shear response: determined by hydrodynamic constitutive relations

$$\tilde{G}_s^s(\tau,\tau_0,k=0)=\left(\frac{T^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0)}{T^{\tau\tau}(\tau)}\right)\left(\frac{3T^{\tau\tau}(\tau)-T^{\eta}_{\eta}(\tau)}{3T^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0)-T^{\eta}_{\eta}(\tau_0)}\right)$$

background evolution

$$\tilde{s}_s^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\eta/s}{\tau T_{\rm id.}}\,,\quad \tilde{s}_v^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\,,$$

"long wave-length constants"

Greens functions — Scaling variables

Energy response
to energy perturbation



Momentum response
to momentum perturbations



Non-equilibrium Greens functions show universal scaling in $x_s = T_{eff}\tau/(\eta/s)$ and $k(\tau - \tau_0)$ beyond hydro limit

Greens functions — Scaling variables

Shear stress & pressure response to energy perturbation



Satisfy hydrodynamic constitutive relations for sufficiently large times $x_s >> 1$ and long wave-length $k\ (\tau-\tau_0) << x_s^{1/2}$

Greens functions — Coordinate space response



Scaling properties ensure that pre-equilibrium evolution of energy momentum tensor can be expressed in terms of

<u>Background:</u> $T^{\mu\nu}_{BG}(x_s)$ <u>Greens-functions:</u> $G^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}\left(x_s, \frac{x-x_0}{\tau-\tau_0}\right)$

computed once and for all in numerical kinetic theory simulation

Dependence of coupling constant **α_s** and energy scale **Q_s** has been re-expressed in terms of macroscopic physical parameter **η/s** and **e**

Can perform event-by-event simulations for wide range macroscopic parameters

KoMPoST

General framework for event-by-event pre-equilibrium dynamics (KoMPoST):

Input: Out-of-equilibrium energy-momentum tensor & value of η/s

Non-equilibrium evolution in linear response formalism

$$T^{\mu\nu}(\tau_{\rm hydro}, \mathbf{x}) = \overline{T}^{\mu\nu}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_{\rm hydro}) + \frac{\overline{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_{\rm hydro})}{\overline{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_{\rm EKT})} \int d^2\mathbf{x}'\, G^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', \tau_{\rm hydro}, \tau_{\rm EKT})\, \delta T^{\alpha\beta}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_{\rm EKT}, \mathbf{x}').$$



Output: Energy-momentum tensor at $\tau_{\rm Hydro}$ when visc. hydro becomes applicable

Easy to use & will be publicly available with release of paper!

Event-by-event pre-equilibrium evolution

1) Evolve class. Yang-Mills fields to early time τ_0 = 0.2 fm/c (IP-Glasma)
2) Macroscopic pre-equilibrium evolution to hydro initialization time τ_{Hydro}
3) Hydrodynamic evolution from τ_{Hydro} ($\eta/s = 2/(4\pi)$ | conformal EoS)

Energy/pressure evolution in central Pb+Pb collision



Based on combination of weak-coupling methods can consistently describe early-time dynamics until onset of hydro

Event-by-event pre-equilibrium evolution

Energy density & radial flow in central Pb+Pb collision



Overlap in the range of validity ensures smooth transition from CYM to EKT to Hydro

No sensitivity to switching times τ_{EKT} , τ_{Hydro} in sensible range



Event-by-event pre-equilibrium evolution

Energy density profile in Pb+Pb collision



Even with QCD EoS sensitivity to switching time τ_{Hydro} from pre-equilibrium to hydro is negligible

Event-by-event pre-equilibrium evolution

Hadronic observables in single (MC-Glauber) Pb+Pb event:



Very little to no sensitivity to switching time τ_{Hydro} from pre-equilibrium to hydro for dN/dy, $\langle p_T \rangle$, $\langle v_2 \rangle$, …

Conclusions & Outlook

Significant progress in understanding early time dynamics of heavy-ion collisions from weak-coupling perspective

Development of macroscopic description of pre-equilibrium dynamics

KoMPoST: Enables for the first time event-by-event description of space time dynamics of heavy-ion collisions from beginning to end

Description in macroscopic framework is completely general and can be used beyond weak coupling limit

Several interesting directions to explore beyond bulk phenomenology

Quark production & chemical equilibration

Electro-magnetic and hard probes

Signatures of pre-equilibrium stage in small systems

Backup

Comparison with long wavelength limit



Energy/momentum response in long wavelength limit:

$$\frac{\delta T^{\tau\tau}(\tau,\mathbf{x})}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau)} \approx \frac{\tilde{G}^0_s(\tau,\tau_0)}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_0)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{s}^{(2)}_s(\tau-\tau_0)^2\partial_k\partial^k\right]\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0,\mathbf{x}) \tag{67a}$$

$$\frac{\delta T^{\tau i}(\tau,\mathbf{x})}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau)} \approx \frac{\tilde{G}^0_s(\tau,\tau_0)}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_0)}\left[-\tilde{s}^{(1)}_v(\tau-\tau_0)\partial^i\right]\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0,\mathbf{x}) \tag{67b}$$

Note: Scale dependence of coefficients

Evolution of long wavelength coefficients



Energy/momentum response in long wavelength limit:

$$\frac{\delta T^{\tau\tau}(\tau,\mathbf{x})}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau)} \approx \frac{\tilde{G}^0_s(\tau,\tau_0)}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_0)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{s}^{(2)}_s(\tau-\tau_0)^2\partial_k\partial^k\right]\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0,\mathbf{x}) \tag{67a}$$

$$\frac{\delta T^{\tau i}(\tau,\mathbf{x})}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau)} \approx \frac{\tilde{G}^0_s(\tau,\tau_0)}{\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}_{\mathbf{x}}(\tau_0)}\left[-\tilde{s}^{(1)}_v(\tau-\tau_0)\partial^i\right]\bar{T}^{\tau\tau}(\tau_0,\mathbf{x}) \tag{67b}$$

Mini-jet quenching

Interactions between mini-jets (p~Q) induce collinear Bremsstrahlung radiation (p<<Q)

-> Cascades towards low p via multiple (democratic) branchings

Soft fragments p << Q begin to thermalize via elastic/inelastic interactions

-> soft thermal bath T<<Q forms



Kurkela, Lu PRL 113 (2014) 182301

Energy continues to flow from p~Q to p~T, increasing the temperature of the bath

-> Soft bath begins to dominate screening & scattering

Subsequently the situation is analogous to parton energy loss; mini-jets loose all their energy to soft bath heating it up to the final temperature.

Comparison with free-streaming



Velocities well reproduced due to universality of long wavelength response

Energy density decreases too fast;
Shear-stress never equilibrates to Navier-Stokes