

Recap:

Discussed balance equations for  $e, n, \vec{p}$

→ Since collisions do not change  
of total  $e, n, \vec{p}$  lead on  
microscopic conservation laws  
we obtain simple balance equations

0.5 particle  
number density

$$n(t, \vec{r}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \vec{r}, \vec{p})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} n + \vec{\nabla}_r \cdot \vec{J}_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} C(f)(t, \vec{r}, \vec{p}) = 0$$

Next we discuss statistical entropy

→ Basic definition for classical & quantum systems

→ Entropy is conserved under Hamiltonian dynamics

Boltzmann  
entropy:

$$S_B(t) = -k_B \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, \vec{r}, \vec{p}) \log(f(t, \vec{r}, \vec{p}))$$

No strict H-theorem

$$\frac{d}{dt} S_B(t) > 0$$

Loschmidt paradox: What causes irreversibility of BVE?

→ Neglecting of  $N$ -body correlations reduces irreversibility  
Since correlations are effectively discarded after each perturbation → practical loss of correlation

# Solutions to Boltzmann equation

Generally solutions to non-linear integro-differential equations are hard to find

→ even proof of existence & uniqueness only achieved in recent years for phenomenologically relevant interactions

We will discuss two kinds of solutions

- (near) equilibrium solutions
- (quasi-) stationary non-equilibrium solutions

## Equilibrium solutions:

Important information provided by H-theorem

namely  $\frac{dS}{dt} \geq 0$  implies that

for stationary solutions  $S(t)$  has to

be maximal  $\frac{dS}{dt} = 0$

Generally distinguish two types of solutions

global equilibrium:  $\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = 0$

all terms in LHS and RHS of Boltzmann equation cancel and the system as a whole is in equilibrium

expect that this situation can be described by thermodynamic variables  $T, \vec{V}, \mu$  spatially homogeneous in absence of external forces

## Local equilibrium:

Described as  $f^{(0)}$ , where  $f^{(0)}$  leads to  
a vanishing collision integral

$$C[f^{(0)}](\vec{h}, \vec{v}, \vec{p}) = 0 \quad \forall \vec{h}, \vec{v}, \vec{p}$$

Note that H-theorem does not guarantee  
convergence towards equilibrium in the  
limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$

Counterexamples: 1) If phase space is unbounded  
system may continue expanding  
(e.g. long-range collision)

2) Systems confined to a finite volume  
may show periodic behavior

Consider spatially homogeneous system  $f(t, \vec{p}, \vec{p}) = f(t, \vec{p})$   
 independent of  $\vec{p}$ . In the absence of external forces,  
 need to find solutions which lead to vanishing collision integral

$$\left(\frac{df_i}{dt}\right)_{\text{coll}} = \int_{p_2} \int_{p_3} \int_{p_4} w(p_1, p_2 \rightarrow p_3, p_4) [f_3 f_4 - f_2 f_1] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

can distinguish two different situations

balance:  $\int_{p_2} \int_{p_3} \int_{p_4} T_{\text{coll}} = 0$

detailed balance:  $T_{\text{coll}} = 0 \quad \forall p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$   
 Satisfying energy-momentum conservation

global & local equilibrium solutions in the above case  
 satisfy detailed balance

$\Rightarrow$  each process canceled by its inverse process

$$f_1 f_2 = f_3 f_4 \quad f_2 f_1 = f_4 f_3$$

now if  $f > 0$  everywhere, we can take logarithm

$$\ln(f_1) + \ln(f_2) = \ln(f_3) + \ln(f_4)$$

$\Rightarrow \ln(f)$  is a conserved quantity  
 in each microscopic scattering event

Can be expressed as linear combination of  
 collisional invariants  $X(p)$

Generally we then have

$$\ln(f(\vec{p})) = \sum_i \lambda_i \chi_i(\vec{p})$$

conserving particle number energy & momentum  
conservation, we have

$$\ln(f(\vec{p})) = \lambda_N + \vec{\lambda}_p \cdot \vec{p} + \lambda_E \frac{p^2}{2m}$$

$$f_{eq}(\vec{p}) = e^{\lambda_N} e^{\vec{\lambda}_p \cdot \vec{p}} e^{\lambda_E \frac{p^2}{2m}}$$

where normalizability requires  $\lambda_E < 0$

$$\text{Solving } N = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} f_{eq}(\vec{p})$$

$$\text{and } E_{tot} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{p^2}{2m} f_{eq}(\vec{p}) = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T$$

$$m n \langle \vec{v}_0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \vec{p} f_{eq}(\vec{p})$$

we then find  $n, T, \vec{v}$  in terms of  $\lambda_N, \vec{\lambda}_p, \lambda_E$

yields

$$f_{eq}(\vec{p}) = n \left( \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{mk_B T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{(\vec{p} - m\vec{v}_0)^2}{2mk_B T}}$$

Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

Note that  $\vec{v}$  can do not be zero by  
appropriate frame choice (LPT)

## Local equilibrium solutions?

Generally these are not solutions to the full Boltzmann equation

Only LHS variable but LHS does not

Nonetheless conceptually important because of hierarchy of time scales

fast

$\tau_c$ : time scale of single collisions  
→ not actually described by Boltzmann equation

slow

$\tau_c, \tau_s$ : system responds to gradients and external forces

Denoting the typical length scale of gradients as

$$\tau_c \sim \left( \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\vec{\nabla} f}{f} \right)^{-1} \sim \frac{L}{v_{th}}$$

by a scale  $L$ .

Should be compared to time scale for collisional relaxation which is a local process

$$\tau_{\text{imp}} \sim \left( \frac{\left( \frac{dI}{d\sigma_{\text{coll}}} \right)^{-1}}{f} \right) \sim \left( n \sigma_{\text{tot}} V_{\text{th}} \right)^{-1}$$

Using  $V_{\text{th}} \tau_{\text{imp}} \equiv l_{\text{imp}}$  we find

$$l_{\text{imp}} \sim \frac{l}{n \sigma_{\text{tot}}}$$

such that

$$\tau_{\text{imp}} \sim \frac{l_{\text{imp}}}{V_{\text{th}}}$$

So the relative importance of "local" collision events with global response to gradients is controlled by the order

$$\frac{\tau_{\text{imp}}}{\tau_S} \sim \frac{l_{\text{imp}}}{L} \equiv K_n \quad \text{Knudsen number}$$

can find systematic solutions in the regions where

$$K_n \gg 1 \quad \text{or} \quad K_n \ll 1$$

free-streaming  
+ collisions

many collisions occur  
before response  
to macroscopic  
gradients

# Scales



where  $\tau_e \ll \tau_{imp}$  has to be satisfied always to ensure validity of approximately  $n$  Boltzmann equation

When  $K_n \ll 1$  several collisions happen over the course of the two scales to respond to gradients

→ collisions lead to local equilibrium of momentum distribution before system eventually relaxes towards global equilibrium

In this context it makes sense to characterize intermediate states by

local equilibrium:

$$f^{(0)}(t, \vec{r}, \vec{p}) = n(t, \vec{r}) \left[ \frac{2\pi m^2}{m k_B T(t, \vec{r})} \right]^{3/2} e^{-\frac{(\vec{p} - m \vec{v}(t, \vec{r}))^2}{2m k_B T(t, \vec{r})}}$$

with local  $n(t, \vec{r})$ ,  $T(t, \vec{r})$ ,  $\vec{v}(t, \vec{r})$